UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION

Q I	NT.	DO	\mathbf{R}	ΔΤ	17	ΔΤ	REZ	7
D.F	XXV.	טע	ıι	A1	⊿ ۷	AI	UĽZ	٦.

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 2:22-cv-448-KCD v. CIRCLE K STORES, INC., Defendant.

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant Circle K Stores, Inc.'s Motion to Compel. (Doc. 35.) No opposition has been filed, and the time to do so expired. The Court thus treats the motion as unopposed. See Local Rule 3.01(c).

Circle K served Plaintiff with discovery requests that have not been answered. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(a) provides that a party may move for an order compelling discovery in such circumstances. Defendant attempted to confer with Plaintiff's counsel in a good faith effort to resolve this dispute to no avail. (Doc. 35 at 2-3.) And now Plaintiff has failed to respond to the motion, thereby waiving any objections. See Siddig v. Saudi Arabian Airlines Corp., No. 6:11-cv-69-Orl-19GJK, 2011 WL 6936485, at *3 (M.D. Fla.

¹ Unless otherwise indicated, all internal quotation marks, citations, and alterations have been omitted in this and later citations.

Dec. 7, 2011) (stating that a party that does not assert objections to discovery

within time permitted by rule, stipulation, or court order waives objections and

is precluded from asserting objections in response to a motion to compel).

Having received no response in opposition, the Court grants the motion

to compel. (Doc. 35.) By September 22, 2023, Plaintiff must serve full and

complete responses to the outstanding discovery requests.

ENTERED in Fort Myers, Florida on September 8, 2023.

Kyle C. Dudek

United States Magistrate Judge

Copies: All Parties of Record